Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,347	KOYAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	José A. Fortuna	1741
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) José A. Fortuna.	(3)	
(2) Garret V. Davis.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 October 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1741	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/584,347

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants attorney to propose changing the abstract to be in only one paragraph and to change the phrase "herein disclosed is" to —The invention provides for—. Mr. Davis agreed with the proposed changes as shown in the examiner's amendment.